

107
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/4/2019 12:03
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2554-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 25.4.2019

UCO Bank Petitioner (s)

Versus

The Managing Director, Punjab State Civil Supply Corporation Ltd. and
others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Shelly Sachdeva, Senior Manager, Law,
on behalf of UCO Bank.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Representative of UCO Bank appeared in person as members of
Bar are abstaining from work.

Heard.

The grouse of petitioner bank is that disputed property was
mortgaged by respondents with it and Debt Recovery Tribunal-II,
Chandigarh, has passed judgment in favour of the bank. Now, in execution
proceedings, in case The Managing Director Versus M/S Shree Ganesh Rice
Mills, learned Additional District Judge, Patiala, has ordered the sale of
disputed property. It is stated that petitioner bank is not a party in said
decree.

I am of view that if petitioner bank claims that it has got some
rights in the property, it is always at liberty to file third party objections
before executing Court. There is no ground to invoke jurisdiction under
Article 227 of Constitution of India. Revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

25.4.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No