

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35434-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.04.2019

Beant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to conduct the inquiry in the application dated 14.06.2017 (Annexure P-7) filed by the petitioner-accused in FIR No.0053 dated 11.06.2017 under Sections 22, 29, 61, 85 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Bhadson, District Patiala.

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Nabha is on record and in this reply, details of the investigation conducted, after registration of the aforesaid FIR, are given along with the recovery effected from the accused persons. It is further stated that on the disclosure statement of co-accused Amrik Singh, his son-petitioner Beant Singh was nominated as accused, being driver of the Innova car, from where the recovery was effected. It is further stated in the reply that Jaswant Kaur wife of Amrik Singh i.e. mother of the petitioner, has moved an application dated

19.06.2017 praying for inquiry. The DSP (Investigation), Patiala conducted an inquiry and as per his report dated 27.07.2017, it is found that the FIR has been rightly registered against the accused persons Amrik Singh, Gurwinder Singh @ Kalu, Satpal Singh @ Reshm and Beant Singh-petitioner. It is further stated that accused Satpal @ Resham Singh had filed anticipatory bail, which was granted by this Court, however, in SLP filed by the State of Punjab, the order passed by this Court was set aside and the accused were directed to surrender before the trial Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gursharan Singh, submits that all the accused persons stand arrested; challan has been presented and even the charges have been framed on 07.11.2017 and out of total 17 prosecution witnesses, 02 have already been examined.

In view of the above, no further action is called for in the present petition and the same is rendered as infructuous.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

03.04.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No