

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-36209 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:13.03.2019

Sukhwinder Kaur and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. SS Majithia, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in compliance of order dated 23.8.2018, the petitioners have joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh. Learned State counsel further contends that cancellation report has been prepared and the same is pending for approval.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that in para No. 8 of the petition, it has specifically been mentioned that the petitioners are ready to return an amount of Rs. 6 lacs as well as to give possession of land.

The dispute with regard to money transaction or property cannot be settled in a petition for bail.

The complainant is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

Since the petitioners have joined the investigation, interim order dated 23.8.2018 passed in their favour is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

March 13, 2019
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No