

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-(PIL)-102-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 09.05.2019

Ravinder Rathee

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Yashdeep Nain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

In the nature of the order we propose to pass, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, the petition is being disposed of finally with the consent of learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner, who purports to have approached this Court in public interest, prays for a mandamus commanding the respondent-authorities to take suitable measures to ensure removal of dangling electricity wires from the electric poles and the damaged poles as they pose a serious threat to the lives of the people.

2. The petitioner has set out in detail his concerns and the sensitivity of the issue involved in the lis. He is also aggrieved, for despite repeated complaints/representations to

various authorities in this regard, the matter has not made any tangible progress.

3. However, during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the parties have reached a consensus that let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to deal with the grievance of the petitioner in the first instance and pass appropriate orders upon his complaints.

4. In the wake of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, we dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondents that if the complaints/representations, alleged to have been submitted by the petitioner, are pending consideration of the respondent-authorities, the same shall be considered and appropriate orders, in accordance with law be passed within a period of six weeks from today, after affording an opportunity of hearing to all the stakeholders. Needless to assert that a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons in support thereof.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

09.05.2019
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No