

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(110)

CWP-10387-2019

Date of Decision: May 22, 2019

Kali Ram and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed by the petitioners claiming the re-fixation of their pay in view of the orders of this Court passed in CWP No.16084 of 1997, CWP No.2757 of 1998 and CWP No.4518 of 2000 which were upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide order dated 08.12.2015 being Civil Appeal No.8661 of 2009.

2. Petitioners claim that they are similarly situated with those who have been granted the relief by this Court but the same has not been granted to them only on the ground that they have not approached this Court and were not the petitioners in above mentioned writ petitions.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, a representation dated 01.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) has been submitted by the petitioners and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. A bare perusal of the representation would show that the same has only been filed by petitioners No.1, 2, 3, 107 and 108 and other

petitioners have not raised their claim qua the relief as being sought in the present writ petition.

5. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners be given liberty to file a representation in respect of the claim which has been made in the present writ petition and further states that the respondents be directed to decide the said representation in a time bound manner.

6. Notice of motion. Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copy of the paper book has supplied to her during the course of the day.

7. Learned counsel for the respondents very fairly states that the respondents have no objection in deciding the representation dated 01.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) filed by five of the petitioners in a time bound manner and further in case, the other petitioners file a representation within a period of two weeks from today, the same will be decided by passing an appropriate speaking order.

8. Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the representation dated 01.08.2017 (Annexure P-1), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 01.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) and also any other supplementary representation, if filed by the petitioners within a period of 2 weeks, within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

9. The writ petition is disposed of in above terms.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

May 22, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No