

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-17079-2019

Date of Decision:- 12.04.2019

Manju Rani and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate for the petitioners.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection to their life and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9, who are parents and members of the family of petitioner No.1. The petitioners have annexed copies of their Aadhar Cards indicating that petitioners are aged 24 years and 30 years respectively.

Notice of motion.

At this stage Mr. Ravi Gakhar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4 and has filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Respondents No.4 and 5 are present in person.

The learned counsel for respondent No.4 has requested that respondents No.4 and 5 may be permitted to meet petitioner No.1-Manju Rani for a short while.

Acceding to the aforesaid request, the aforesaid respondents were permitted to have a word with petitioner No.1-Manju Rani for a short while, outside the Court room. After they came back, respondents No.4 and

5 were advised not to cause any harm to the petitioners and not to take law in their hands and maintain peace.

The learned counsel for respondent No.4 has assured that respondents No.4 and 5 or any of their relatives will not harm the petitioners in any manner.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra to look into representation dated 9.4.2019 (Annexure P-5) made by petitioners, in accordance with law, immediately upon receipt of certified copy of this order. In case any apprehension of threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners is found, requisite steps be taken immediately, in accordance with law, to protect life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall not be taken to be an expression as regards validity of the alleged marriage of the parties and shall not be deemed to confer any immunity upon petitioners from any action warranted under law.

12.04.2019

Gaurav Sorot

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No