

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.10223 of 2019
Date of decision : 22.04.2019**

Narinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Swarn Tiwana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.V.M.Gupta, Addl.AG Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 5 to take action against respondent Nos.7 to 11 for misappropriating the Panchayat funds.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib to take final view on the enquiry report dated 19.7.2018 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.V.M.Gupta, Addl.AG Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-

Deputy Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib to take final view on the enquiry

report dated 19.7.2018 (Annexure P-4) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

22.04.2019
Meenu

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No