

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 18687 of 2019
Date of decision: 06.05.2019.**

Ashwani Sharma

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshwaria, DAG, Haryana,
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has sought cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.4 and regular bail granted to respondent No.5 in FIR No.63 dated 11.04.2017 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code ('IPC' - for short) registered at Police Station City Moga, District Moga, vide orders dated 13.03.2019 and 25.03.2019, respectively (Annexure P2 - colly.).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after the grant of bail to respondents No.4 and 5, they have been threatening the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

A perusal of the orders granting anticipatory and regular bail to respondents No.4 and 5, respectively, indicates that the FIR has been registered in the year 2017 wherein it is alleged that the accused have forged power of attorney on 29.01.2008. The allegations of the petitioner are that

he has been threatened by respondents No.4 and 5. It is also apparent that the accused had also lodged FIR No.78 dated 24.05.2017 under Section 420 IPC registered at Police Station Shimla Sadar against the petitioner and his brother. The allegations have not been substantiated by any cogent evidence and merely on the oral averments in this regard, the bail granted to respondents No.4 and 5 cannot be cancelled.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.05.2019
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No