

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.35830 of 2018
Date of decision: 24th July, 2019

Pankaj Luthra @ Saravpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioner in person along with his counsel
 Mr. Ajay Pal Singh, Advocate for
 Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate.

 Mr. Harbir Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
 for respondent No.1/State.

 Respondent No.2 in person along with her counsel
 Mr. A.S. Khinda, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 31.08.2018 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jalandhar has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Kamini Verma and the accused namely Pankaj Luthra alias Saravpreet Singh, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Moreover, during the course of interaction with the parties, they have shown their resolve to end the dispute and have made statements that they have compromised the matter as per compromise (Annexure P2) dated 05.06.2018 and shall abide by the same.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offenses for which the accused has been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.67 dated 02.08.2013 under Section 498A IPC pertaining to Police Station Women Cell, Jalandhar City and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioner are quashed.

The petition stands disposed off in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

July 24, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No