

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-18388-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 22.08.2019

ARSHDEEP SINGH @ ARASHDEEP SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.130 dated 22.10.2018, under Sections 379-B read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Maqboolpura, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of the co-accused. He also contends that the petitioner had earlier joined investigation during the pendency of his petition before the trial Court which was later on dismissed by the trial Court.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Baldev Singh, states that in the FIR, it is mentioned that two persons were travelling on motorcycle and the pillion rider had snatched the ear rings of the complainant. In the test identification, the complainant has identified the petitioner as a pillion rider who had snatched the ear rings.

In view of the serious allegations against the petitioner having snatched the ear rings of the complainant, I am of the view that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

22.08.2019

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No