

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2661-2019

Date of decision:-3.7.2019

Ram Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Ravi Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

H.S. MADAAN, J.(ORAL)

This revision petition is directed against the order dated 22.2.2019 passed by District Judge, Faridabad vide which the civil appeal filed by the revisionists-plaintiffs had been dismissed.

Briefly stated, facts of the case are that plaintiffs Ram Singh and others had filed a civil suit for permanent injunction against Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector 12, Faridabad wherein they had moved an application under Order 39 Rules 1 & 2 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction. The trial Court disposed of the application restraining the defendant from interfering in peaceful possession of the plaintiffs over the suit property comprised in Khasra No.17(58-15) and 5/25 Gair Mumkin Abadi Deh of village Daultabad and to consider the plea of the plaintiffs that the defendant shall not dispossess the plaintiffs from the suit property, which specifically falls within the *lal dora* except in due course of law.

The plaintiffs felt dissatisfied with such order passed by the trial Court and had approached District Judge, Faridabad by way of filing an appeal. The said appeal was assigned to Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, who vide order dated 22.2.2019 upheld the order passed by the trial Court and dismissed the appeal.

Still feeling aggrieved, the plaintiffs have approached this Court by way of filing the revision petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the revisionists besides going through the record and I find that the orders passed by the Courts below are detailed and well reasoned, which do not suffer from any illegality or infirmity much less apparent on the face of such orders. The orders are certainly not arbitrary or perverse. Therefore, no interference by this Court while exercising revisional jurisdiction is called for.

Finding no merit in the revision petition, the same stands dismissed.

3.7.2019
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No