

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-35629 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:21.02.2019

Sardool Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 20.8.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from HC Rajpal Singh. However, she opposed the bail on the ground that amount, which has been paid to be petitioner, has not been recovered from him.

The allegations in the FIR are matter of evidence, which shall be tested by the trial Court.

In view of the above, interim order dated 20.8.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, the petitioner is directed to join investigation, if required by the Investigating Officer.

February 21, 2019
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No