

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10190-2019

Date of decision: - 22.04.2019

Ram Chander Dahiya

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Gobind Dhanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states that benefit of leave encashment equivalent to 300 days was given to the petitioner on 30.01.2014, but he is entitled for the interest on the said delayed payment as he had retired on 30.11.2008 and the amount, which was released to him in the year 2014, should have been released to him in the year 2008 and therefore, he is entitled for the interest on the payment which was released to him in January, 2014.

Counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been sought in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice on 29.01.2015 (Annexure P-7), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 29.01.2015 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same shall also be released to him within a period of next three months.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

April 22, 2019
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No