

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

140

**CRM-M No.17101 of 2019
Date of Decision: 12.04.2019.**

Binakshi Devi

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

Present: Mr. Aditya Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Shekher Dhawan, J.

Present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.16 dated 13.03.2019 under Sections 420, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Shahpur Kandi, Pathankot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is not involved in the alleged offence and she was arrested in this false case, so she be allowed to join the investigation.

As per allegations contained in the F.I.R., a sum of Rs.7,00,000/- was demanded by the petitioner as well as her husband as they had assured that they will ensure the employment as Road Inspector for the son of the complainant and received a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- as advance in the account of Dharuv Singh (husband of the petitioner), but no employment was arranged by the petitioner or her husband nor the money was returned to the complainant.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and appraisal of case file, this Court is of the considered opinion that since the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and her custodial interrogation is required in the case, no case is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

12.04.2019.

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No