

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.10186 of 2019
Date of decision : 22.04.2019**

Amarjit Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Ramender Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to take disciplinary action against the persons who embezzled the funds as detailed in document (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-The Deputy Commissioner, District Charkhi Dadri, Mini Secretariat, Charkhi Dadri, Haryana to consider and decide the representation dated 28.12.2018 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-

The Deputy Commissioner, District Charkhi Dadri, Mini Secretariat, Charkhi Dadri, Haryana to consider and decide the representation dated 28.12.2018 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

22.04.2019
Meenu

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No