

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CWP-10066-2019

Date of Decision: 18.11.2019

Sakatar Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr.Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1, 5 and 6.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

Mr.Ankit Kharbanda, Advocate
for respondents No.7 and 8.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

During the course of arguments today, it has gone uncontroverted that the Sub Divisional Officer/Incharge, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Jandiala Guru, has sanctioned in favour of the petitioner a 10 K.V.A. Transformer, 60 meter 11 K.V. Link Line as the existing transformer was already overloaded. Such factual premise is corroborated from the document placed on record at Annexure P-1.

The precise grievance raised in the petition is that inspite of the regular charges having already been deposited, the sanctioned 10 K.V.A. transformer has not yet been installed.

Apparently, the transformer has not been installed on account of opposition at the hands of private respondents No.7 and 8, who happen be none other than the real brothers of the petitioner.

Counsel representing respondents No.7 and 8 would submit that a suit for permanent injunction has been instituted by them and in which petitioner herein has been arrayed as a party defendant. Counsel, however, is unable to inform this Court as to whether any ad-interim injunction has been granted in their favour.

In view of the above, the instant writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.5 to provide necessary security so as to facilitate the installation of the transformer at the hands of the officials of the respondent-Corporation. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar Rural would ensure that respondents No.7 and 8 are not permitted to take law in their own hands and to obstruct the officials of the state instrumentality in discharge of their duties.

It would, however, be open for respondents No.7 and 8 to furnish before the concerned officials of the respondent-Corporation or for that matter before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural) copy of a restraint order passed by the Competent Court, if any.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

18.11.2019
anju

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No