

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. CRM-M No.34574 of 2017

Manjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M No.36444 of 2017

Surinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

3. CRM-M No.37311 of 2017

Haravtar Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

Date of decision: 15th January, 2019

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioner Haravtar Singh in person with
Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Complainant in person along with her counsel
Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose off first anticipatory bail applications
filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. separately by petitioners namely Manjit
Kaur, Surinder Kaur and Haravtar Singh detailed above as they all have
arisen out of the same very case bearing FIR No.254 dated 22.08.2017

under Sections 406, 498-A, 354 IPC pertaining to Police Station Sidhwan Bet, Ludihana.

Present case was got registered on the written complaint of complainant Sukhbeer Kaur wife of accused non-applicant Amanpreet Singh. The brief allegations that stem out are that a marriage between the couple took place on 19.02.2017 and the husband was at that time resident of Holland and subsequently, on account of demand of dowry, physical abuse and torture, a matrimonial dispute arose between the couple leading to registration of the present case.

Learned counsel for the petitioners Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate on the very onset has submitted that the parties have effected a compromise, on the basis of which decree of mutual divorce has been secured on 01.10.2018 and that nothing is to be recovered in consequence of the compromise. Complainant Sukhbeer Kaur who is present in Court, and has been identified by HC Harpal Singh, Police Station Sidhwan Bet, Ludhiana Rural, has made statement that she does not object to grant of relief in question and has made statement that she has settled all her disputes, civil as well as criminal, with the petitioner side and does not oppose grant of bail. The same is the stand of the learned State counsel assisted by HC Harpal Singh.

Appreciating the submissions of the two sides, since it was a pure matrimonial dispute and the parties have effected a compromise which is duly corroborated by the own statement of the complainant, who

is present in Court, this Court is of the view that it would be a travesty of justice to send the petitioners behind bars in the present case.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, petitioners are ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer till submission of report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. (challan). They shall continue to join investigation and shall furnish an undertaking that they shall abide by the conditions specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. Thereafter, petitioners will be permitted to furnish regular bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

All the three petitions stand disposed off accordingly.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 15, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No