

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

**CWP No.10225 of 2019
Date of Decision:22.04.2019**

Malkiat Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Ashok Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time counsel does not press the present petition as he is seeking directions to determine the amount under the Employee Provident Fund (EPF). As per Section 7-A(1)(b) of the Employees Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 there is an alternative remedy available to the petitioner to approach the authorities.

Accordingly, in view of the above and in view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in **United Bank of India Vs. Satyawati Tondon and others, 2010 (8) SCC 110**, the present writ petition is dismissed as not pressed with the liberty as prayed above.

22.04.2019
pvd

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No