

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 9859 of 2019
Date of decision :12.04.2019

Vikram Dahiya

...Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has participated for the post of Assistant District Attorney (Group-B) under the general category.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, learned counsel for the Commission has produced the expert committee report. It transpires that petitioner had raised objections with respect to questions No. 20, 24, 61 and 73 of question booklet No. 1. With respect to objections to questions No. 20 and 73, the objections have already been answered in favour of the petitioner. With respect to questions No. 24 and 61 as per the expert committee report and after going through the provision of Cr.P.C, the answers have been rightly retained as per the first answer key.

Learned counsel for the Commission states that after considering the objections, the revised answer key has been prepared and the candidates have been called for interview as per the revised answer key and all the 100 questions have been examined by the expert committee.

The marks of the petitioner have been produced in Court today and he has got lower marks than the cut off marks under the general category and has rightly not been called for interview. The expert committee report and the marks of the petitioner have been returned to

learned counsel for the Commission.

Dismissed.

12.04.2019

Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No