

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17136-2019
Date of Decision:-28.5.2019

ROMI AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Chajju Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 so as to provide adequate security and protection to them.
2. When this matter was taken up for preliminary hearing on 12.04.2019, learned counsel for the petitioners had informed that both the petitioners had come to this Court, but were caught by parents of petitioner No.1 and by her relatives and had been forcibly taken away to some undisclosed place. In view of the aforesaid

submission, this Court while issuing notice of motion had directed Superintendent of Police, Jind to look into the matter and to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

3. The petitioners are present in person along with their counsel and stated that they still apprehend threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents. Although when the matter was called in the pre-lunch session, respondent No.4-Sudesh mother of petitioner No.1 was present along with her relatives and was also represented by her counsel Sh. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate, who had filed power of attorney and had requested that respondent No.4 be permitted to meet petitioner No.1. This Court had acceded to the said request and permitted respondent No.4 to meet petitioner No.1. However, despite the matter having been called in the post-lunch session, there is no representation on behalf of private respondents. Neither private respondents nor their counsel are present. Since the petitioners have specifically stated that they still apprehend threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents, the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind is directed to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners at the hands of private respondents. In case, petitioners ever apprehend any threat to their lives and liberty, they would also be at liberty to approach respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind so as to seek redressal of their grievance.
4. A copy of this order be sent to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Jind so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

5. It is, however, made clear that this order shall not be taken to be an expression as regards validity of the alleged marriage of the parties and shall not be deemed to confer any immunity upon petitioners from any action warranted under law.

28.5.2019
Gaurav Sorot

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No