

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.35385 of 2018
Date of decision: 4th April, 2019

Mohit & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with
Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate.

Mr. Rakeshinder S. Sidhu, Asstt. AG, Punjab
for respondent No.1/State.

Respondent No.2 in person along with
Ms. Sukhpreet Grewal, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 21.09.2018 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Kharar has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Kusum Rani and the accused persons, namely, Mohit, Suraj Parkash and Sunita Sharma, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise Ex.C1 has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Today the petitioner husband Mohit has paid balance amount as per their compromise deed Ex.C1, by way of demand draft bearing No.835940 dated 03.04.2019 for a sum of Rs.2,75,000/- drawn on Punjab National Bank and has placed a photostat copy of the same on the records of this case, which has been received by the respondent wife Kusum Rani

to her entire satisfaction and the parties have stated duly endorsed by their respective counsel that all terms of settlement which is Ex.C1 stand settled fully and finally and that they shall abide by the same. State has also expressed its no objection to this settlement.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offenses for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.92 dated 08.05.2018 under Sections 406, 498-A IPC pertaining to Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 4, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No