

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9908 of 2019

Date of Decision : 12.04.2019

Bhupinder Singh Saini

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present : Mr. Hitinder Singh Lalli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

...

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The petitioner impugns the order of the Tribunal declining interference which is the cause of grievance to him in this writ petition.

The petitioner states that he was transferred ostensibly on the ground that he had a long tenure of stay at one place. It is contended that there are other people who had a stay of more than a decade and half whereas his stay is merely of 4 years at one place. The reply that was filed to the original application reveals that the petitioner had served for a period of 29 years 6 months and 16 days at two places i.e. Sangrur and Chandigarh. The petitioner belongs to the Central service and is required to serve anywhere in the country. Therefore, this grievance of the petitioner seems to be misplaced. Even otherwise, matters of transfers as a measure of administrative exigencies ought not to be interfered with by the courts ordinarily unless they are a result of mala fides. No such cause has been pleaded by the petitioner.

The other ground taken up to impugn the transfer order is that it is in violation of the policy directives. We are of the opinion that

administrative matters and adherence to the policy are issues which require compliance as far as practical. We thus do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order.

The petitioner then states that he would be reporting to his juniors. No such material has been placed to demonstrate that the petitioner is senior to the persons where he is required to be posted.

Dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

12.04.2019
dss

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No