

CRM-M-16914-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16914-2019

Date of Decision: 12.04.2019

Som Nath

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Jasvir Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. For grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.25 dated 13.03.2018, under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Kanwan, District Pathankot.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not pointed out any changed circumstances after dismissal of first petition for anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner.

Though, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that now, the petitioner is ready to settle the dispute, but this is not a changed circumstance. Vide order dated 14.08.2019 passed by this Court, while dismissing the first petition for anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner, it

CRM-M-16914-2019

was held that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where he is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

12.04.2019
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No