

113
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/04/2019 15:33
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2490-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 11.4.2019

Parveen Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Pooja

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by order dated 28.2.2019 (Annexure-P-7), passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Hoshiarpur, vide which during pendency of petition filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, trial Court ordered the payment of Rs. 10,000/- p.m. maintenance to wife as she has to maintain herself and her minor son. The husband was also burdened with Rs. 5,000/- as one time cost of litigation.

I have heard learned counsel for petitioner and have also carefully gone through file.

The petitioner was admittedly serving in Indian Army. His monthly salary was Rs. 38,237/-. The wife is to maintain herself and her minor son. Therefore, amount of Rs. 10,000/- cannot be called excessive, considering the level of essential commodities now a days.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that petitioner has retired from service.

If it is so, he can always apply to trial Court for altering maintenance on the ground of change of circumstances. Another ground pleaded is that after deduction, petitioner is getting only Rs. 15,294/- is also not acceptable since as per statement of account, he is paying Rs. 19,000/- towards AFPP fund subscription. He can well reduce that contribution. No order to interfere in impugned order. Thus, revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.4.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No