

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 16800 of 2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-11.04.2019**

Masuma and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to the official respondents to protect their lives and liberty from the private respondents No.4 and 5 (father and cousin of petitioner No.1) as they have entered into marriage on 09.4.2019 against the wishes of private respondents.

It is mentioned in the petition that all attempts on the part of petitioners for peaceful solution did not materialise as no consent was given by respondent No.4 for this marriage. It is conceded by learned counsel that the petitioners are having relationship with each other for the last one year and six months and during this period no untoward incident has taken place.

At this stage, Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate has put in

appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 and filed memorandum of appearance on their behalf. He states that father of petitioner No.1 had never opposed the said marriage.

In view of the above, no ground is made out for invoking inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to issue any direction to the official respondents.

The petition is hereby dismissed.

April 11, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No