

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9912-2019

Date of decision: 12.4.2019

M/s New Satluj Transport Company

...Petitioner

Versus

State Transport Commissioner, Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the respondents to consider the joint application dated 22.5.2017 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner for transfer of Bus alongwith rights of stage carriage route permit as per Section 82 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 – Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda to consider and decide the legal notice dated 11.2.2019 (Annexure P-6) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.AG, Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda to consider and decide the legal notice dated 11.2.2019 (Annexure P-6) within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to it, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to it, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner- company is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

12.4.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No