

226

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1425-2019
Date of decision: 06.09.2019

BHUPINDER KHURMI

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

VED PARKASH ARORA

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Mandhan, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Paramjit Singh Bal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The civil revision was disposed of as the respondent had made a request that reasonable time be given to him to vacate the shop. The time was extended upto 31.03.2019. As he had not vacated the shop within given time, the present contempt petition was filed.

A short reply by way of affidavit, filed in Court, is taken on record. A copy of the same is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. It has been stated in the reply that the vacant physical possession of the shop has been handed over to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner admits the above said fact. However, he states that the respondent had only paid rent till 31.03.2018 and according to him Rs. 3,750/- are still due.

The respondent, who is present in Court, and verified by his

counsel undertakes to make payment of rent due to the petitioner within fifteen days from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement made by the respondent in Court today, no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. The petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition, in case, the statement made by the respondent is not adhered to.

It is further clarified that in case, the petitioner is forced to revive the contempt petition, a strict view may be taken against the respondent.

Rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

6th September, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*