

102
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/05/2019 16:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2491-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.5.2019

Sanjay Anand Petitioner (s)

Versus

Asha Talwar and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Nand Lal Sammi and Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sammi, Advocates,
for petitioner.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

This revision is directed against order dated 22.2.2019 (Annexure-P-7), passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, vide which application filed by petitioner-defendant No. 1 under Order VII Rule 11 CPC, 1908, was dismissed.

It comes out that plea of petitioner-defendant No. 1 is that description of property given in part-15 to part-25 of plaint is vague description. It is contended that khasra number is not mentioned.

Perusal of plaint shows that boundaries of property are given which are stated to be in the shape of chunk of land.

I am of view that whether description is proper or not is to be examined during trial. The description is given, but according to petitioner, it is not proper. It being so, there is no ground to reject plaint on this ground only. Thus, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.5.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No