

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-16802-2019 (O&M)
Date of Order:29.04.2019

Anuj Kumari and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

On 11.04.2019, following order was passed:-

Anuj Kumari and Balkar Singh, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondent nos.4 to 6 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 6. They have placed on record photographs Annexure P-3 evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have made representation dated 09.04.2019, Annexure P-5 to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, respondent no.2.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab, appearing for the State of Punjab is requested to submit a status report. A copy of the petition be supplied to the State counsel during the course of the day.

In the meantime, respondent no.2 would examine the threat perceptions and if found

necessary, interim protection would be provided to them. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

Adjourned to 24.04.2019.

In response to the aforesaid order, Sham Lal, ASI appeared in Court and the following order was passed on 24.04.2019:-

“Sh. Sham Lal, ASI has appeared in Court and submitted that respondents no.4 to 6 have suffered a statement accepting the marriage with the assurance that they would not cause any harm to the petitioners. However, counsel for the petitioners are not present.

Today once again neither the petitioners nor their counsel is present. Hence, this court is left with no choice but for to assume that the petitioners do not appear to be interested in prosecuting the present petition.

In any case, statements of respondents no.4 to 6 have already been recorded by the police.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is disposed of. However, petitioners would be at liberty to move fresh application, in case, they feel that there is any apprehension to their life and liberty.

April 29, 2019
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No