

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34194-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.08.2019

Bhupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The reply dated 14.09.2018, by way of an affidavit of Sh. Ashok Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ghanaur, District Patiala, which is on the case file, is ordered to be taken on record.

Though the allegations against the petitioner are obviously serious, to the effect that he got somebody to impersonate for dead persons so as to get a power of attorney executed in his favour qua the land owned by them, which he thereafter is stated to have transferred to his father, who in turn sold it to a 3rd person, however, the report under Section 173 (2) Cr.P.C. already having been submitted to the competent Court, with the trial stated to be underway at the stage of recording the testimony of prosecution witnesses, with there being no application seeking cancellation of bail for any reason, I see no reason to vacate the interim order in favour of the petitioner, he not having been shown to have

mis-used the concession.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of with the order dated 06.12.2017 made absolute; but with it made absolutely clear that this Court has not made any observation whatsoever in favour of the petitioner by making the aforesaid order absolute.

Naturally, the trial would proceed wholly on the basis of evidence led before it, un-hampered by any observations made hereinabove.

August 28, 2019
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.