

|                    |                                     |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 133)               | Crl. Misc. No.M-17266 of 2019 (O&M) |
|                    | Date of Decision:12.09.2019         |
| Rashid and another | ...Petitioners                      |
|                    | Versus                              |
| State of Haryana   | ...Respondent                       |

Present:- Mr. Nafeed Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, Pardeep Sharma, AAG, Haryana.  
Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate, for the complainant.

\*\*\*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioners are only witnesses to an agreement of sale between the complainant, Basir, and another co-accused, Jumme Khan, and therefore they cannot be held liable for any alleged forgery of the said agreement, learned counsel for the complainant submits that as a matter of fact, the complainant was earlier a resident of the same village as the petitioners are resident of, i.e. Village Kot, Tehsil Bahin, District Palwal, and that he shifted to District Alwar (Rajasthan) only 10-15 years ago.

He has further produced in Court today a copy of a forensic

report, to submit that the signatures of the complainant (Basir), on the agreement of sale, are not found (as per the forensic science laboratory), to be matching with his admitted signatures.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioners, this petition is dismissed.

12.09.2019  
vcgarg

(**Amol Rattan Singh**)  
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether reportable:                      Yes