

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17463-2019
Date of decision-22.10.2019**

Surjit Kohli

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Saini, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankush Singla, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner-Surjit Kohli has filed this petition under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C for cancellation of bail granted to respondent No.2 vide order dated 07.12.2018 by Sessions Judge, Ambala in case FIR No.382 dated 08.08.2018 registered under Sections 406 and 420 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the accused had taken advantage of the advanced age of the victim by entering into an agreement to sell and obtained a sum of Rs.15 lacs from him. It is contended that the property in question was not owned by Ashish Jain (respondent No.2) and therefore, Court below has committed a serious error of law in extending the concession of anticipatory bail to him vide order dated

07.12.2018.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner/complainant does not dispute this fact that the said concession was neither misused by the accused nor was obtained by misleading the Court.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any valid reason to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C.

Resultantly, petition is dismissed.

22.10.2019

vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No