

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-15102-2019

Date of decision: - 30.05.2019

Nikita

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. A.K. Singh Goyat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Perusal of the record would show that the petitioner has already filed a writ petition being CWP No.3772 of 2013, which was disposed of on 14.11.2017 by the following order: -

“After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to pursue the instant petition and intends to withdraw the same with liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to the petitioner.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty, as prayed for.”

Counsel for the petitioner argues that there is no other/alternate remedy available to the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner has not been able to explain as to how the present writ petition will be maintainable on the same cause of

action and in case there was no remedy available to the petitioner, he should file an application in the earlier writ petition for recalling of order dated 14.11.2017.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition to have an appropriate remedy for the redressal of the grievance of the petitioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty, as prayed for.

May 30, 2019
naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	No
Whether reportable?	No