

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.34985 of 2018

Date of Decision: 17.01.2019

Sabir

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Doon, A.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 16.08.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and this fact has been affirmed by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Abdul Gaffar. However, learned State counsel submits that one more FIR has been registered against the petitioner after passing the interim order in the present case, whereas, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in that case, the petitioner has been released on interim bail and the same has been made absolute. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner and he has been shown to have run away from the place of occurrence.

In view of the above, interim order dated 16.08.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, it is directed that in case, the petitioner is found to be involved in any other case of similar nature, the State is at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

17.01.2019

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No