

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17501 of 2019.

Decided on:- April 22, 2019.

Ramandeep Kaur.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.*

Present:- Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 439(2) Cr.PC for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.4 vide order dated 04.02.2019 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur in FIR No.12 dated 22.01.2019 under Section 498-A IPC registered at Police Station City-1, Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-complainant has argued that after the grant of anticipatory bail, respondent No.4 has not resisted from his acts and conducts and, rather, with the connivance of his brother-in-law, he has become more courageous and is giving open threat to the petitioner. The respondent No.4 is putting pressure upon the petitioner to withdraw the complaint and to effect compromise in the F.I.R. The respondent No.4 is in

possession of the certificates of the petitioner and has denied to return those certificates.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The argument of learned counsel for the petitioner that respondent No.4 has extended threat to the petitioner-complainant cannot be accepted at this stage as the petitioner may approach the police authority. As regard the argument of learned counsel for the petitioner that respondent No.4 is in possession of the certificates of the petitioner, the same is required to be established during trial. Therefore, this Court finds that no ground is made out to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.4 vide order dated 04.02.2019 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur.

The present petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

April 22, 2019
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No