

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-17228-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 31.10.2019

Mandeep and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR No.251, dated 15.5.2018, registered at Police Station Gannaur, Sonipat, District Sonipat, under Sections 34, 376, 506, 511 IPC and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.
2. Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed power of attorney. The same is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel has filed reply in the shape of affidavit of Mr. Sandeep Kumar, HPS, DSP, Ganaur, Sonipat. The same is taken on record.
4. The FIR was lodged at the instance of respondent No.2 wherein allegations have been levelled to the effect that she was married to Pardeep and that on 14.5.2018 when she was alone in her house, her father-in-law and brother-in-law attempted to rape her.
5. Pursuant to order dated 3.7.2019 statements of the parties have been recorded by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat wherein respondent No.2 has stated that she had entered into a compromise with her husband as well as with the petitioners who are arrayed as an accused and that all the misunderstandings have been cleared and that she has no grudge or grievance against the petitioners and that it was after the compromise that they had filed the petition for quashing of the FIR along with affidavit. To a similar effect are the statements of the petitioners.
6. A perusal of the FIR would show that prosecutrix has alleged that an attempt was made by her father-in-law and brother-in-law i.e. the petitioners, to rape her. Since both the parties have amicably resolved their issues and all belong to the same family, it will certainly be in the larger interest of the parties that the matter is compromised so that they can live in a cordial manner.
7. In view of the aforesaid compromise/report and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, the petition is

allowed and FIR No.251, dated 15.5.2018, registered at Police Station Gannaur, Sonipat, District Sonipat, under Sections 34, 376, 506, 511 IPC, and all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

October 31, 2019

mohan

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No