

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34702 of 2016
Date of Decision: 30.01.2019

Dharmender Kumar Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present:- Mr. Gopal Soni, Advocate,
for Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. P.K. Garg, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. A.K. Sharma, Advocate,
for Mr. R.D. Yadav, Advocate, for respondents No.3 and 4.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondent No.1 to initiate proceedings under Section 182 I.P.C. against the private respondents.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raj Kumar, submits that instant petition has rendered infructuous in view of the fact that kalandra under Section 182 I.P.C. has already been filed against respondent No.3 – Madhu only.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. Petitioner, if has any grouse, he may raise protest in the Court.

January 30, 2019
R.S.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No