

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-10100-2019

Date of decision : 12.04.2019

Anu Vijan

..... Petitioner

VS

Bank of Baroda and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr.Atul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The prayer made in this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for directing the respondent-bank not to proceed against the property and take physical possession of the same.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a comprehensive representation to the respondent-Bank for the claim made in the writ petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

12.04.2019

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No