

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-16687-2019
Date of decision: 03.07.2019**

Parveen Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant-Municipal Committee Ladwa.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No. 83 dated 20.03.2019 under Sections 420, 467, 468 IPC, registered at
Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra.

The operative part of the order dated 11.04.2019, vide which
the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

**“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner was working as contractual employee for
the last 10 years in Municipal Committee, Ladwa,
when his services were terminated on 29.09.2017 and
thereafter, he filed CWP-26033-2017, which was
allowed vide order dated 23.01.2019 along with costs of
Rs.25,000/- and the Municipal Committee was directed
to reinstate the petitioner, however, the Secretary
failed to comply with the order and the petitioner had
to file COCP-710-2019.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner further

submits that as per allegations in the FIR, there are some bogus entries in the computer, by tempering with the record and since the petitioner is out of job w.e.f. 01.10.2017, it is yet to be ascertained as to who was incharge of the computer, from where the tempering was done.

Notice of motion for 02.05.2019.”

Thereafter, the petitioner was directed to rejoin the investigation, vide orders 02.05.219 and 23.05.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the aforesaid orders, has already joined investigation and handed over the Laptop and the Printer to the Investigating Officer.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mulchand, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the aforesaid fact.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 11.04.2019, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

03.07.2019

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No