

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.3373 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.11.2019

Sukhchain Chand
..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, AAG, Punjab.
Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.8.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directing the official respondents Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner in view of the representations dated 04.01.2017 (P-10 and P-11).

In response to the notice of motion, issued by this Court, reply by way of affidavit dated 08.09.2017 of Sh. Dilbagh Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Shahkot, District Jalandhar (Rural) has already been filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 5. Perusal of the same reveals that petitioner is facing number of criminal cases, which are as under:-

<i>Cases registered and dates</i>	<i>Under Sections</i>	<i>Status</i>
FIR No.195 dated 6.10.2012	U/S 406/420, IPC, P.S. Phillaur, Jalandhar	Proclaimed offender 17.10.2014

<i>Cases registered and dates</i>	<i>Under Sections</i>	<i>Status</i>
FIR No.145 dated 13.08.2014	U/S 420, 465, 457, 468, 471, IPC, P.S. Navi Baradari, Jalandhar	Trial court on 13.10.17
FIR No.12 dated 11.02.14	U/S 406/420, IPC, P.S. Dasuya, Distt. Hoshiarpur	Trial Court on 13.10.17
FIR No.39 dated 20.07.15	U/S 406, 420, 120-B, IPC, P.S. Sadar Commissionerate, Jalandhar	Proclaimed offender on 25.10.16
FIR No.23 dated 12.02.17	U/S 406, 420, IPC, P.S. Kotwali, District Kapurthala	Under investigation
FIR No.24 dated 12.02.17	U/S 406, 420, IPC, P.S. Kotwali, Distt. Kapurthala	Under investigation
FIR No.25 dated 13.02.17	U/S 406, 420, IPC, P.S. Kotwali, Distt. Kapurthala	Under investigation
FIR No.26 dated 13.02.17	U/S 420, IPC, P.S. Kotwali, Distt. Kapurthala	Under investigation
FIR No.34 dated 17.03.16	U/S 420, IPC, P.S. Sultanpur Lodhi, Distt. Kapurthala	Under investigation

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mohan Singh, has pointed out that in some of the cases, petitioner has been declared as proclaimed offender also.

In view of above, it seems that petitioner is trying to unnecessarily put pressure on the police officials and there is no violation of any life and liberty involved in the present case.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

However, the observations may not be construed as expression of opinion on merits of the above criminal cases.

November 18, 2019

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Gagan

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>