

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

215/3

CRM-M-34624 of 2018

Decided on : 22.05.2019

Rohit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr.Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is seeking cancellation of regular bail of respondent No.2 granted by learned Additional Sessions Judge Sonapat in FIR No.84 dated 25.3.2016 under Sections 148, 149, 302, 323 and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after respondent No.2 had been released on regular bail by the trial Court on 5.5.2018, he was planning to get Gaurav who is witness in the case, eliminated. An FIR No.314 dated 19.7.2018 under Sections 115, 120-B and 34 IPC has been registered against him at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat. He however, contends that investigation in the said FIR has been completed and challan has been filed.

On query put up by this Court, learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to point out any other instance where respondent No.2 would have misused the concession of bail granted to him by this Court.

Besides this, there is no material on record which would even suggest that

respondent No.2 has misused the concession of bail in any manner.

Since the investigation in FIR No.314 dated 19.7.2018 under Sections 115, 120B and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat has been completed, I do not find any good ground to cancel the bail already granted to respondent No.2.

Consequently, this petition stands dismissed.

[ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL]
JUDGE

22.05.2019
sd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No