

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.34609 of 2018

Date of Decision: 22.01.2019

Gita

....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Divjyot S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Jain, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that earlier the petitioner was released on interim bail as the FSL report was not received but now the same has been received. As per FSL report, the alleged recovery is 22 ml., which is marginally more than the non-commercial quantity.

Learned counsel for the respondent-U.T. Chandigarh has also affirmed the quantity but opposed grant of bail on the ground that it is a commercial quantity.

Since the recovery is marginally more than the non-commercial quantity, the interim order dated 16.08.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Though the investigation has been completed and charges have been framed, the petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court regularly and furnish fresh bail/surety bonds to its satisfaction.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

22.01.2019
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No