

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 16666 of 2019

Date of decision : May 09, 2019

Ram Rati

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vishwajeet, Advocate
for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG Haryana

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.74, dated 30.1.2018, registered under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 of the IPC, at Police Station City Sonapat, District Sonapat.

On 23.4.2019, the following order was passed :-

“ Counsel for the petitioner states that during this interregnum the parties have compromised the matter and a petition for quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise bearing **CRM-M-653-2019** is now pending for 09.05.2019.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Counsel for the petitioner undertakes to supply a copy of the paper book to the learned AAG during the course of the day.

To come up along with **CRM-M-653-2019** on

09.05.2019.

In the meantime, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed.”

Learned AAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Rajesh Kumar, has accepted the fact that compromise has taken place between the parties.

In these circumstances, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, this petition is allowed and it is directed that in the event of her arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

May 09, 2019
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No