

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10228-2019

Date of decision: 8.7.2019

Bagga Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Barjinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to conduct an enquiry on the representation dated 11.1.2019 (Annexure P-1) moved by the petitioner and take action against respondent Nos. 4 to 10 on account of embezzlement of MNREGA grants, Gram Panchayat grants, Panchayat funds, MLA grants, MP grants etc.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 – Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 11.1.2019 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to
Ms.Sunint Kaur, AAG, Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab to consider and decide the representation dated 11.1.2019 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

8.7.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No