

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10649 of 2019

DATE OF DECISION:03.09.2019

H.R. Luthra and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana State Council for Child Welfare, Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Binayjeet Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners inter alia argues that the order dated 28.02.2018 (Annexure P-4) is totally cryptic and non-speaking as no reason has been given for declining the relief though the contention of the petitioners as well as respondents have been noticed by the authorities while passing the impugned order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pardeep Solath, Advocate, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and files his Vakalatnama.

Counsel for the respondents is unable to rebut the contention that the order dated 28.02.2018 (Annexure P-4) is a non-speaking order though the contention of both the sides have been noticed in the order but no reason has been given as to why the contention raised on behalf of the petitioners does not have any merit

for accepting the same.

Faced with this situation, counsel for the respondents states that the impugned order dated 28.02.2018 (Annexure P-4) be treated as withdrawn with liberty to the respondents to pass a fresh order in accordance with law within a period of three months from today.

Counsel for the petitioner states that as the impugned order has already been withdrawn with liberty to pass a fresh order, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous

September 03, 2019
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No