

CRWP-359-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-359-2019

Date of Decision:23.04.2019

Kashmiri Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, Advocate,
for respondents No.5 to 8.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Short reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Desh Raj, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, has been filed in the Court today. Same is taken on record.

Petitioner-Kashmiri Lal has filed this criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *habeas corpus* with a direction to the official respondents to produce detenue-Madhu Saini, who has been detained illegally by respondents No.4 to 8.

Vide order dated 09.04.2019, notice of motion was issued and respondent No.3-Station House Officer, Police Station Pansara, District Yamuna Nagar, was directed to visit the given addresses of respondents

CRWP-359-2019

No.4 to 8 and inquire into as to whether detainee-Madhu Saini had been confined illegally and against her wishes by respondents No.4 to 8. It was also directed that if respondent No.3 finds that the detainee has been kept forcibly, then she be produced in the Court.

Heard.

As per the reply filed by the State, detainee-Madhu Saini alongwith respondent No.8-Amarjeet Singh is residing at Ludhiana. Her statement has been recorded in which she stated that she has married with Amarjeet Singh with her own wish and will and she is residing with him and has not been detained by anyone.

Learned counsel for respondents No.5 to 8 has also produced copy of the order dated 29.01.2019 passed in CRM-M-3992-2019 by a Coordinate Bench of this Court to show that Amarjeet Singh and Madhu Saini filed petition seeking protection of their life and liberty. In that petition, Kashmiri Lal (present petitioner) has been shown as respondent No.4.

In view of the reply filed by learned State counsel and in view of the protection order passed in CRM-M-3992-2019 by the Coordinate Bench of this Court, no further action is required in this criminal writ petition.

Disposed of.

23.04.2019

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No