

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2496 of 2019

Date of Decision: 12.04.2019

Ram Narayan

-Petitioner

Vs

Shriram Transport Finance Corporation Limited and another

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Evidently, petitioner has not filed any objection under Section 34 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 against the award.

In the execution, after order of attachment, a conditional warrant has been issued for execution of the award.

Since the petitioner has not challenged the order of attachment as well as the award by way of filing any objection under Section 34 of the Act, therefore, conditional warrant is the only appropriate remedy vide which the award can be enforced/executed.

Petitioner can avoid his arrest by way of paying off the amount in question.

No ground is made out to interfere in this revision petition.

Dismissed.

12.04.2019

Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No