

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.2455-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.04.2019

Amrinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Gagandeep Kaur and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr.Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate for the petitioner

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (Oral)

Petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 1.2.2019, passed by learned District Judge, (Family Court), Faridkot, vide which application under Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, interim maintenance @ Rs.6000/- to the wife -petitioner no.1 and Rs.3000/- to the petitioner -son has been allowed.

Heard.

Perusal of the impugned order shows that the present petitioner owns one half share in 64 kanals 19 marlas. So far as maintenance to the child is concerned, the same is not disputed. So far as maintenance to the wife is concerned, I am of the view that considering the level of prices now a days, Rs.6000/- per month maintenance is merely subsistence allowance and it cannot be called excessive. No ground to interfere in the impugned order. Dismissed. However, as requested, the trial Court is directed to send the case to the Mediation Centre, Faridkot so that efforts can be made for re-

conciliation between the parties. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that that he is paying Rs.4000/- maintenance under Domestic Violence Act. If it is so, he can always claim adjustment before the lower Court.

11.04.2019
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No