

250

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1403 of 2019
Date of Decision: 10.09.2019**

Surjit Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Narinder Pal Singh, Executive Engineer, Water Supply and
Sanitation Division, Gurdaspur

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 26.11.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No.8933 of 2011.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced below:-

“In view of the above, the instant petition qua petitioner No.2 has been rendered infructuous and is dismissed as such. The necessary benefits along with arrears (restricted to 38 months preceding the date of filing of the instant petition), if any, in terms of judgment Annexure P-8, be released in favour of petitioner No.2 within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment.”

Reply by way of affidavit of Narinder Pal Singh, Executive Engineer, Water Supply & Sanitation Division, Gurdaspur has been filed today in the Court, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. Alongwith the reply, orders dated 14.08.2019 and 05.08.2019 regarding pay fixation have been annexed.

Mr. Narinder Pal Singh, Executive Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation Division, Gurdaspur is present in the Court, he submits that pay fixation has been done and matter has been sent to the Accountant General, Punjab for releasing the monetary benefits. He further submits that after obtaining sanction, payment would be disbursed within eight weeks from today.

In view of reply filed and statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty in case their grievance survives with regard to pay fixation.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

However, in case the statement made today in the Court is not adhered to, petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

September 10, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No