

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-16820-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 4.12.2019

Satish @ Bittu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by SI Shanti.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) on the ground that the FIR came to be lodged due to some misunderstandings and that in fact the misunderstandings have now been resolved and a compromise has been effected amongst the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the falsity of the FIR would be evident from the fact that when the statement of the prosecutrix was recorded during the course of trial, she categorically stated during cross-examination that her mother had asked her to affix her

signatures on Exhibit P-10 and that the uncle did not commit any wrong act with her and that no such occurrence as alleged had taken place.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and while refraining from making any expression as regards merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to consider all the pleas as raised herein, at the time of deciding the case finally and also to make efforts to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within a period of 2 months from today as the matter is presently stated to be pending at the stage of recording of defence evidence, provided the petitioner himself does not delay the proceedings of the trial.

December 4, 2019

mohan

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No